

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,609	02/04/2000	David L. Hecht	07447.0016-00000	9053
7590 12/05/2001 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner LLP			EXAMINER	
1300 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/498,609	HECHT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 .					
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
Zu)		natters, prosecution as to the ments is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applic						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	objected to by the Everniner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2000</u>	n to the drawing(a) he hold in the	evance See 37 CFR 1 85(a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	וו נט נוופ urawing(s) be neid in ab. תול havrorana הולא יsi	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/498,609

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 on line 1 of the claim, substitute "1" with --4--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/498,609

Claims 1-3, 9-12, 16-19, 25-28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nukui et al. (US 5,945,661) (hereinafter referred to as 'Nukui') in view of Art Unit: 2876 4.

Nukui teaches a data symbol reading device 1 for reading a data symbol 37, having an indication section 18 which notifies the device 1 user of a positioning condition of the device 1 Hecht (US 5,825,933). relative to a symbol reading area 36 of the data symbol 38 on a surface 37 (col. 11, lines 18-33). The device 1 also features an operation switch 14, two light sources 41, and a charge coupled device 43 (col. 5, lines 29-31).

Nukui lacks the teaching of coded embedded data.

Hecht teaches a glyph code pattern 21 written on a suitable recording medium 24, whereas data encodings are transversely interlaced with spatial addressing information (col. 6,

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that a glyph code may store a large amount of data that is hidden and is undetectable with unaided eye, thereby causing lines 38-42). dishonest and unauthorized decoding of the code more difficult. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Nukui with the coded embedded data as taught by Hecht.

Claims 4-8, 13-15, 20-24, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nukui/Hecht as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (US 5,513,264) (hereinafter referred to as 'Wang'). The teachings of Nukui/Hecht have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Nukui/Hecht lack the teaching of the viewing area comprising a display for displaying an image based on the coded embedded data.

Wang teaches a decoding system 30 having a display unit 34 arranged to display a visual representation of a dataform (col. 5, lines 4-13). Following decoding, a displayed visual representation of the decoded data may be provided (fig. 8 and col. 9, lines 13-15).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that a display would have been beneficial on the scanner for allowing the user to readily view the code and decoded data as a means to ensure that one has properly carried out the scanning process. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Nukui/Hecht with the display as taught by Wang.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Goldman et al. (US 6,036,094) teach a hand-held optical scanner for reading two dimensional bar code symbols and the like.

Dvorkis et al. (US 5,633,489) teach a combination mouse and scanner for reading optically encoded indicia.

Knee et al. (US 5,994,710) teach a scanning mouse for a computer.

Jones et al. (US 4,906,843) teach a combination mouse, optical scanner, and digitizer puck.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/498,609

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jamara A. Franklin Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF December 2, 2001

> KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER